# TREE EVALUATION METHOD FOR PRESERVATION ORDERS (TEMPO):

## SURVEY DATA SHEET & DECISION GUIDE

#### Date: 08.11.22

Surveyor: Matthew Stubbings, Tree and Landscape Officer

### Tree details

TPO Ref: TPO 361/2022Tree/Group No: T4Species: YewLocation: Southern boundary, adjacent to driveway on land atFlat 1, 125 Langton Road, Norton, Malton, North Yorkshire Y017 9AE

#### **<u>Part 1: Amenity assessment</u>** a) Condition & suitability for TPO:

Refer to Guidance Note for definitions

| 5) Good   | Highly suitable         | Score & Notes |
|-----------|-------------------------|---------------|
| 3) Fair   | Suitable                | Score & Notes |
| 1) Poor   | Unlikely to be suitable | F             |
| 0) Unsafe | Unsuitable              | 5             |
| 0) Dead   | Unsuitable              |               |
|           |                         |               |

## b) Remaining longevity (in years) & suitability for TPO:

Refer to 'Species Guide' section in Guidance Note

| 5) 100+   | Highly suitable | Score & Notes |
|-----------|-----------------|---------------|
| 4) 40-100 | Very suitable   | Score & Notes |
| 2) 20-40  | Suitable        | r.            |
| 1) 10-20  | Just suitable   | 5             |
| 0) <10    | Unsuitable      |               |

## c) Relative public visibility & suitability for TPO:

Consider realistic potential for future visibility with changed land use; refer to Guidance Note

| 5) Very large trees, or large trees that are prominent landscape features  | Highly suitable         | <i>a</i>      |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------|---------------|
| 4) Large trees, or medium trees clearly visible to the public              | Suitable                | Score & Notes |
| 3) Medium trees, or larger trees with limited view only                    | Just suitable           |               |
| 2) Small trees, or larger trees visible only with difficulty               | Unlikely to be suitable | 3             |
| 1) Young, v. small, or trees not visible to the public, regardless of size | Probably unsuitable     |               |
|                                                                            |                         |               |

## d) Other factors

Trees must have accrued 7 or more points (with no zero score) to qualify

| 5 | ) Principal c | components of | of arboricu | ltural fea | atures, or | r veteran ti | rees |
|---|---------------|---------------|-------------|------------|------------|--------------|------|

4) Members of groups of trees that are important for their cohesion

3) Trees with significant historical, commemorative or habitat importance

2) Trees of particularly good form, especially if rare or unusual

1) Trees with none of the above additional redeeming features

## Part 2: Expediency assessment

Trees must have accrued 9 or more points to qualify; refer to Guidance Note

| <ul> <li>5) Known threat to tree</li> <li>3) Foreseeable threat to tree</li> <li>2) Perceived threat to tree</li> <li>1) Precautionary only</li> <li>0) Tree known to be an actionable nuisance</li> </ul> | Score & Notes<br>1 |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------|
| 0) Tree known to be an actionable nuisance                                                                                                                                                                 |                    |

## Part 3: Decision guide

| Any 0 | Do not apply TPO      |
|-------|-----------------------|
| 1-6   | TPO indefensible      |
| 7-11  | Does not merit TPO    |
| 12-15 | TPO defensible        |
| 16+   | Definitely merits TPO |

| Add Scores for Total: |  |
|-----------------------|--|
| 15                    |  |

Score & Notes

Decision:

1

TPO defensible